Graph? Yes! Which one? Help! Lassila et al., Amazon Neptune

Nikolaos Karalis KnowGraphs Colloquium January 21, 2022

Graph Interoperability

Motivation

- Multiple graph models for the representation of KGs
 - Resource Description Framework (RDF)
 - Labeled Property Graphs (LPGs)
- Model specific query languages
 - SPARQL for RDF
 - Gremlin and openCypher for LPGs

Goal

- A data model that models both RDF graphs and LPGs
- Cross-use of query languages (e.g., SPARQL over LPGs)

ETN KnowGraphs

One Graph (1G)

- Data model for the representation of RDF graphs and LPGs
- Quad-based representation
 - \circ src —label \rightarrow value: sid
 - o *src*: source vertex
 - value: target vertex or vertex property value
 - *label*: edge label or vertex property key
 - o *sid*: unique identifier for each statement
- Statement identifiers can be used for edge properties Example:

Bob — knows → Alice: sid_1 (ground statement) $sid_1 - since \rightarrow 2020: sid_2$ (assertion)





Challenges of Graph Interoperability

- 1. Edge properties, multiple edge instances, and reification
- 2. Triples vs. graph abstraction
- 3. Datatype alignment
- 4. Graph partitioning
- 5. Graph merging and external identifiers
- 6. Lack of formal foundation
- 7. Update query semantics



Edge Properties, Multiple Edge Instances, and Reification

- RDF has no built-in support for edge properties
 - Reification
 - RDF-star
- LPGs support multiple instances of an edge Example:

- In RDF(-star) each statement is unique
- How to treat multiple instances of an edge and their properties in RDF(-star)?

ETN KnowGraphs

- RDF graphs \rightarrow set of triples
- LPGs \rightarrow disjoint sets of vertices and edges
- The mapping of 1G statements to RDF statements is straightforward
- Key challenge: The definition of graph elements over 1G
 - $_{\odot}$ $\,$ How to distinguish edges from vertex properties
 - How to iterate over the vertices of a graph

Datatype Alignment

- RDF has a formal type system
 - Builds upon the XML Schema Definition (XSD)
 - Extensibility and flexibility
 - Composite types (e.g., lists) are modelled via RDF containers
- No formal definition for the type system of LPGs
 - Built-in composite types
 - Semantics are delegated to the implementation language
- **Challenge:** Definition of a meta type system
- Possible solution: A meta type system based on user-defined RDF literals Example:

"[1, 2, 3]"^^:OneGraphList

- RDF supports *named graphs*
- RDF uses quads to represent statements involving named graphs: (s, p, o, g)
- 1G introduces a new edge label: *inGraph* Example:

Bob
$$-knows \rightarrow Alice: sid_1$$

sid_1 $-inGraph \rightarrow g: sid_2$

• The evaluation of SPARQL queries needs to consider the new edge label

Graph Merging and External Identifiers

- RDF provides a specification for graph merging
- The merging of RDF graphs makes use of the global identifiers (IRIs)
- There is no standard procedure for the merging of LPGs
 - \circ $\,$ How to deal with edge properties $\,$
 - How to deal with multiple edge instances
- Interesting use case: Merging of an RDF graph and a LPG

- Query languages for LPGs lack formal semantics / a query algebra
- How to assess that queries of different languages are semantically equal?



- Ambiguity when updating over a simplified view of 1G
- How should a statement be removed?
 - RDF does not allow multiple instances of a statement
 - Should a SPARQL query over LPG remove all instances of an edge and its associated edge properties?
- How to insert multiple edge instances using SPARQL?



Questions?

Original Slides: <u>https://www.lassila.org/publications/2021/scg2021-lassila+etal-preso.pdf</u>

Talk by Ora Lassila: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f9wautaqWUs</u>



ETN KnowGraphs